Case3::10-cv-01465-JSW Document59 Filed08/25/11 Page11off33

28

1388 SUTTER STREET, SUITE 715

SCOTT LAW FIRM

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109

John Houston Scott, SBN 72578 Lizabeth N. de Vries, SBN 227215 SCOTT LAW FIRM 1388 Sutter Street, Suite 715 San Francisco, CA 94109 Tel: (415) 561-9601 Fax: (415) 561-9609 john@scottlawfirm.net liza@scottlawfirm.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff, DERWIN LONGMIRE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DERWIN LONGMIRE,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CITY OF OAKLAND, HOWARD JORDAN, SEAN WHENT, and DOES 1-50, inclusive

Defendants.

Case No.: C10-01465 JSW

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]—
ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE TO
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between counsel for all parties in the abovereferenced action:

WHEREAS, the trial date in this matter is scheduled for February 27, 2012 and the hearing on defendant's motion for summary judgment is scheduled for December 9, 2011; and

WHEREAS, plaintiff's lead counsel recently returned from a family vacation and had to prepare and file an Opening Brief due in the California Court of Appeal in the matter of *McVeigh* v. *SF Recycling*, Case No: A131833 by August 19, 2011; and

WHEREAS, upon receipt of defendant's motion for summary judgment on August 12, 2011, plaintiff's counsel's office was short staffed with its only legal secretary on vacation who returned just this past Monday, August 22, 2011; and

- 1 -

Case 3::10-cv-01465-JSW **Document 59** Filed 08/25/11 Page 2206 f33

1388 SUTTER STREET, SUITE 715

SCOTT LAW FIRM

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109

WHEREAS, upon receipt of defendant's motion for summary judgment, plaintiff's counsel's office is further short staffed with its only associate, Lizabeth de Vries, on a pre-paid pre-planned vacation expected to return on August 29, 2011; and

WHEREAS, plaintiff's counsel is in the process of preparing for several previously scheduled depositions in the matter of Rush v. City of Oakland, Case No. RG09477417 in the Alameda County Superior Court, which commenced last week and are scheduled to continue over the course of next week; and

WHEREAS, plaintiff's counsel is in the process of preparing for an opposition to yet another motion for summary judgment in the matter of Rush v. City of Oakland, Case No. RG09477417, which is due in the Alameda County Superior Court no later than September 15, 2011; and

WHEREAS, counsel for all parties hereby request the Court to extend the deadline for plaintiff to file his opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment by three weeks from August 26, 2011 to September 16, 2011 and to extend the deadline for defendant to reply to October 7, 2011; and

All other deadlines shall remain in place.

Dated: August 24, 2011 SCOTT LAW FIRM

John Houston Scott

Attorney for Plaintiff

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS Dated: August 24, 2011

FOSTER EMPLOYMENT LAW

Dated: August 24, 2011 By: MADELYN G. JORDAN-DAVIS

Case 3::10-cv-01465-JSW Document 59 Filed 08/25/11 Page 3::06:

.O, CA 24103

1388 SUTTER STREET, SUITE 715 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94109

SCOTT LAW FIRM

-[PROPOSED] ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, it is hereby ordered that the deadline to file plaintiff's opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment in this matter has been extended to September 16, 2011. The deadline to file defendant's reply has been extended to October 7, 2011. There shall be no further extension of time on briefing this motion. The Court shall strike any late-filed briefs.

DATE: August 25, 2011

HONOR HIJE ÆFFREY S. WHITE United States District Court Judge